

#10
11-1-02
TRR

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail with sufficient postage in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, Washington,

D.C. 20231 on October 25, 2002
Date of Deposit



Joseph F. Hetz - Reg. No. 41,070
Name of Applicant, Assignee or
Registered Representative

[Signature]
Signature

Our Case No. 8285-430

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:)
Gruchala et al.)
Serial No.: 09/844,716)
Filed: April 25, 2001)
For: Method and System for Providing)
a Work-at-Home)
Telecommunication Service)

Examiner: B. Bui

Group Art Unit:

2642
RECEIVED
NOV 01 2002
Technology Center 2600

RESPONSE TO OFFICE ACTION

Commissioner for Patents
Washington, D.C. 20231

Dear Sir:

Please enter the following amendment and remarks in response to the Office Action of
September 30, 2002.

REMARKS

I. Double Patenting Rejections

In the Office Action of September 30, 2002, the claims were rejected under the judicially-created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting over U.S. Patent No. 6,252,953. In